EXECUTIVE SEMINAR NEGOTIATION

Preparation Outline for the Associate Dean

I. Information and Strategy

Principles:

- What principles can I cite?
 - Pay Williams as he is now—OK but past his peak and not well known
 - Junior profs are \$1.5K/day; replacement would probably be \$2.5K total
 - 2.5 to \$3K paid to typical US trainers
 - Not much prep and no new materials or customizing needed
 - Same fee as an original presenter (\$10K)
- What standards might they cite?
 - Williams should be paid as he was, a premier thought leader/presenter in Europe
 - Maybe the \$10-25K paid to star presenters

Interests:

- What are ours? Relative importance?
 - Support the success of, and build enthusiasm for, the series and certificate program
 - Avoid an embarrassing cancellation
 - o Maybe
 - Avoid a precedent that will prejudice future speaker negotiations
 - Manage financial risk
- What are theirs?
 - Presumably to promote Williams' consulting practice
 - Feel fairly treated
 - Maybe perform, get on stage
- Ideas for solutions?
 - Maybe promote his book at the seminar? In publicity?
 - Has Maxwell helped promote or even publish his book?
 - Give him a reasonable base plus a sliding scale based on new registrations
 - Do promotion to boost his profile, bolster the ego
 - Give him an affiliation with the University program if this appearance goes well

Leverage:

- What is our best alternative to an agreement? A junior faculty member at \$2.5-3K
- What is their most likely alternative? Not known
- Are any other factors that affect our leverage? Their leverage?
 - Only 2 ¹/₂ weeks to the show. Need a replacement immediately for publicity.
 - But Williams seems not to have another gig.

Relationships:

• What kind of relationship do we have now? Associate Dean admires Williams' work,

EXECUTIVE SEMINAR was originally written by Marjorie Corman Aaron (as Marketing Maybes) but has been adapted and taught with greater frequency by Dwight Golann, who is the author of this preparation outline.

but no real relationship between him and Maxwell

• What kind do we want when we leave the negotiation? We'd be willing to explore a future relationship, but our major interest is in filling the hole in our schedule.

Information:

- What data would be helpful?
 - Has Williams done similar work recently? What was he paid for it?
 - What will Williams do if he doesn't do this?
 - Any data to support Williams' effectiveness in this kind of role?
- What is the other side likely to ask? Should I disclose it?
 - What would the original speaker have been paid?
 - What revenue is the event producing? Will we share it?
 - Can Maxwell support his books, and perhaps give him a title/role in the future?

II. Bargaining

Process:

- What process of negotiation do I want? (positions, principles, interests)
 - We have to get a deal: Important Williams feels the offer is fair. A principled process
 - We're willing to support his interests, but the main goal is to fill our hole
- What is the other party likely to want?
 - As much money as possible; maybe also "perks"

Tactics:

- What is my highest reasonable goal? An "A" result?
- What's our walkaway point? "C" result? We'd pay 20K or even a little more if we have to
- Should I make the first offer? If so, what should it be?
 - Establishing a favorable "anchor" seems less important in this context

Might wait and gather information so the first offer doe