Questionnaire for Neutral Chair in Three-Member Arbitration Panel (With Two Party Arbitrators and Neutral Chair)

Panel	Members:			Cha	ir:						
our role: Party Arbitrator appointed by Microtex, Inc.											
	Party Arbitrator appointed by Bio-Con, Inc.										
		,	• •	•							
After i	<i>hearing</i> the ev	vidence and a	rguments by o	counsel, but <i>t</i>	efore y	ou had really					
deliberated, had you reached at least a tentative conclusion concerning liability and											
damages in this case?yesno											
		,									
If so,	what would y	our decision a	and award hav	e been prior	to your	own deliberations?					
lia	bility orn	o liability	on breach of joint venture agreement or other								
			agreement relating to the joint venture								
lia	bility orn	o liability	on breach of fiduciary obligation								
lia	bility orn	o liability	on breach of	Confidentialit	ty Agre	ement					
What would your award have been?											
			1 11 1			C 11 1/					
1.		On a scale of 1-5, how strongly did you support the result, after the panel's deliberation, decision and award were finished?									
	·										
	Not at		-	_		Completely					
		1	2	3	4	5					
2		f 1 E hove ote	onaly did you	think the arbi	tratar s	annointed by					
۷.	2. On a scale of 1-5, how strongly did you think the arbitrator appointed by Microtex, Inc. played the Party advocate's role?										
	Not at	. ,	Party advocate	estoler		Completely					
	NOL at	. aii 1	2	3	4	Completely 5					
		1	2	3	7	J					
3	On a scale of	f 1-5 how str	onaly did you	think the arhi	itrator a	appointed by Bio-					
٦.		•	y advocate's r		itiatoi t	appointed by bio					
	Not at		, davocate 5 i	oic.		Completely					
	Nocal	1	2	3	4	5					
		-	_	3	•	J					
4.	On a scale of	f 1-5, how mu	ich do vou be	lieve vour opi	nion as	the Neutral Chair					
		f 1-5, how much do you believe your opinion as the Neutral Chair be panel's decision and award?									
No more than others' Overwhe											
		1	2	3	4	5					

5.	On a scale of 1-5, indicate how strongly you disagree or agree with this statement: <i>The panel deliberation process was beneficial and resulted in a decision and award that was more fair, just, or appropriate than the outcome would have been if</i> you <i>had decided the case as a single arbitrator.</i>									
	Stron	gly disagree 1	2	3	4	Strongly agree 5				
6.	statement: decision and would have arbitrators.	d award that w been if the o t	beration proce vas more fair,	ess was benefi just, or appro	icial and priate t	d resulted in a than the outcome d the case as single				
	Suon	gly disagree 1	2	3	4	Strongly agree 5				
7.	On a scale of 1-5, indicate how strongly you disagree or agree with this statement: <i>Having a Party arbitrator for each party would have enhanced the quality of the final decision and award.</i> Strongly disagree Strongly agree									
	3000	1	2	3	4	Strongly agree 5				
8.		ment upon how influenced the				ne appellate rights				
9.	Please desci	ribe briefly how	w your panel v	vent about the	e delibe	erative process.				
10. Other comments?										